# Molecular Assemblies Containing Linear and Bent $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ Bridge Units: Synthesis, Structures, and Relevance to Cyanide-Inhibited Heme-Copper Oxidases 

Michael J. Scott and R. H. Holm*<br>Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Received July 14, $1994^{\otimes}$


#### Abstract

A series of molecular complexes containing the [ $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ] bridge unit has been prepared and structurally characterized as a means of establishing the presence of a similar bridge in cyanide-inhibited cytochrome $c$ oxidase and terminal quinol oxidases. The series consists of the molecules $\left[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2+/+}$ with $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{dien} / \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ (11) and bnpy ${ }_{2} / \mathrm{OTf}(12$ ), and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{TIM}$ (13), cyclops (14), and cyclam (15). These species were prepared by the reaction of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{CN})](1)$ with the appropriate $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ precursors in acetone or acetonitrile/dichloromethane solutions. Because $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{B}}$ sites in enzymes are structurally undefined, the stereochemistry of these precursors was varied. When combined with the previously reported species $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Me}_{6}$ tren (9) and $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}^{\prime}=$ $\mathrm{Me}_{5}$ dien/OTf (10), they constitute a set of seven monobridged species. Also prepared was $\left\{[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})]_{2} \mathrm{Cu}-\right.$ (cyclam) $\}^{2+}$ (16), shown to contain the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{IL}}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\right]$ bridge, and $\left[(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me} e_{5} \text { dien }\right)\right]^{+}$ (17). The X-ray structures of precursor complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{dien}\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+},\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{bnpy} \mathrm{D}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}\right],[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{cy}-$ clam $\left.)(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]^{2+}$, and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{S}_{5} \text { dien }\right)(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]^{+}$and the bridged assemblies $11-14,16$, and 17 were determined; those of 9 and 10 have been described earlier. The structures of the heme and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ fragments differ little from those of 1 and the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ starting compounds, respectively. In all bridges, the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{CN}$ fragment is linear (bond angle $176-180^{\circ}$ ) and any deviations therefrom occur in the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{CN}$ fragment. Nearly linear bridges occur in 9 (trigonal bipyramidal-ax) and 10/11 (distorted square pyramidal-eq) ( $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=170-174^{\circ}$ ). Bent bridges are found in $12\left(163^{\circ}\right.$, distorted square pyramidal-eq), and in $13\left(147^{\circ}\right)$ and $14\left(159^{\circ}\right)$ (both square pyramidal-ax). The smallest angle is observed in doubly bridged 16 ( $140^{\circ}$, tetragonal octahedral). In the bridges, decreasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles correlate with increasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NC}$ bond distances. Cyanide stretching frequencies in the crystalline state and in dichloromethane solution are nearly the same and in the solids cover the interval $2181-2120 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; nearly all values exceed that of $1\left(2129 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. Increasing $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}$ values correlate with decreasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ angles and increasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances. These values encompass those for cyanide-inhibited oxidized enzymes (2152$2146 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The infrared data, together with magnetic and Mössbauer spectroscopic results which show coupled Fe (III) and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ spins, lead to the conclusion that these enzymes possess a tight $\left[\mathrm{Fe}{ }^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{I I}\right]$ bridge, rather than a binuclear site in which cyanide is bridged exclusively to one subsite, or interacts weakly with another subsite, or is hydrogen-bonded at the uncoordinated end. The existence of the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}^{1}\right]$ bridge in 17 raises the matter of a similar atom sequence in biological bridges of the same oxidation state. (bnpy ${ }_{2}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-bis(2-(2pyridylethyl) )benzylamine, $\mathrm{Me}_{5}$ dien $=1,1,4,7,7$-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine, $\mathrm{Me}_{6}$ tren $=$ tris $(2$-(dimethylamino)ethyl)amine, $\mathrm{OEP}=$ octaethylporphyrinate $(2-)$, $\mathrm{OTf}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, py $=$pyridine; cyclops, TIM , cyclam $=$ tetraazamacrocycles; ax = axial, eq = equatorial, referring to the position of cyanide substitution in the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ coordination sphere.)


## Introduction

We are engaged in the continuing development of the chemistry of bridged binuclear iron-copper systems relevant to the iron-copper (heme $a_{3} / \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) binuclear site of eukaryotic cytochrome $c$ oxidases and prokaryotic cytochrome and quinol oxidases. ${ }^{1}$ In the oxidized ("as-isolated") state of the enzymes, this site is composed of a high-spin Fe(III) heme axially coordinated to an imidazole ligand distal to a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ atom that is minimally coordinated by three imidazole groups. The stereochemistry at the $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{B}}$ subsite is unestablished. The metal centers are antiferromagnetically coupled through a bridging atom to generate an $S=2$ ground state. The most recent structural results for a binuclear site pertain to that in the quinoloxidizing cytochrome $a a_{3}$ from Bacillus subtilis. The Fe and

[^0]Cu EXAFS have been analyzed in terms of a S or Cl ligand asymmetrically bridging the two metals separated by $3.70 \AA .{ }^{2}$ Current evidence suggests that the essential features of the binuclear site are largely conserved over the many enzymes that constitute the superfamily of heme- Cu oxidases. ${ }^{1}$ When bridged, this site is one example of what we have termed bridged biological metal assemblies, in which two discrete fragments are juxtaposed wholly or in part by one or more covalent bridges. ${ }^{3,4}$ Our initial work on $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Cu}$ bridged assemblies has resulted in the preparation and characterization of [(OEP)Fe-$\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6}\right.$ tren $\left.)\right]^{+}, 5$ the first proven example of the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{O}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ] bridge unit. ${ }^{6,7}$ This species displays an $S=2$ ground state but without apparent benefit of the bridge atom in $B$. subtilis cytochrome $a a_{3}$ or presumably other oxidases.

[^1]Oxidized and reduced heme- Cu oxidases bind a number of exogenous anions, among them cyanide..$^{8-17}$ Binding of cyanide converts oxidized heme $a_{3}$ to a low-spin configuration, modifies magnetic coupling between $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$, and otherwise influences all electronic properties of the site. Additionally, cyanide is an irreversible inhibitor of the oxidases, and its toxicity has been traced to binding to cytochrome $c$ oxidase. ${ }^{18}$ Specifically, binding at the binuclear site would block uptake of dioxygen and suppress the reaction $\mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow$ $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which is the final step in aerobic metabolism. The view that cyanide insinuates itself in the binuclear site in the inhibited form of the enzyme is encountered frequently in the oxidase literature. Most recently, when considering bound cyanide stretching frequencies, Palmer ${ }^{15}$ raised the issue of how cyanide binds in the binuclear site. In the oxidized enzyme, the possibilities are (i) a tight $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{[I I}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ bridge linear or somewhat bent, (ii) exclusive binding to one metal subsite, and (iii) tight binding at one subsite with the end atom weakly interacting either with the other subsite or with a proton in a hydrogen bond.

We have recently reported the first structurally authenticated examples of a heme-based $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}\right]$ bridge unit. ${ }^{19,20}$ These occur in the assemblies $\left[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6}-\right.\right.$ tren) $]^{2+}(9)^{21}$ and $\left[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5}\right.\right.$ dien $)\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}(\mathbf{1 0}),{ }^{22}$ which are schematically depicted in Figure 1. Because the structure of the Cu subsite in the binuclear enzyme site is unknown, the stereochemistry of the Cu fragment was varied from trigonal bipyramidal (TBP) in 9 to distorted square pyramidal (d-SP) in 10, with the nitrogen end of cyanide coordinated in an equatorial position. Species 9 and 10 display

[^2]low-spin heme stereochemistry, nearly linear bridges, and slightly different $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}$ values. Cyanide stretching frequencies are not necessarily the most sensitive or informative reporters of structural differences. However, the observation that $v_{\mathrm{CN}}=$ $2146-2152 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (aqueous buffer) for two different oxidases ${ }^{9,11-15}$ is substantially lower than $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}=2174-2183$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (solid, solution) for 9,10 , and a closely related species ${ }^{22}$ necessitates a broader examination of the interplay of structures and stretching frequencies in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{1 \mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ bridges. We report here the synthesis, structural characterization, and $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}$ data for some six new bridged species. These results, together with others from magnetic studies and Mössbauer, resonance Raman, and MCD spectroscopies, are directed toward elucidation of the geometric and electronic structures of the binuclear site in cyanide-inhibited oxidases. Some of the compounds reported have been used to augment the range of structures currently being investigated by these techniques. The results of these investigations will be described elsewhere.

## Experimental Section ${ }^{5}$

Preparation of Compounds. All operations were conducted under a pure dinitrogen atmosphere using standard glovebox and Schlenk techniques. Solvents were dried according to standard procedures and were degassed prior to use.
(a) $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I})$ Complexes. $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{dien}\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. A solution of $0.468 \mathrm{~g}(2.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Me}_{5}$ dien in 5 mL of acetone was added dropwise to a solution of $1 \mathrm{~g}(2.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in 15 mL of acetone. The dark blue solution was stirred for 2 h and filtered; several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the filtrate over 48 h , resulting in the formation of a dark blue crystalline mass. This material was collected and washed with THF and ether and dried to give the product as $1.02 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of a blue crystalline solid. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C, 23.83; $\mathrm{H}, 5.55 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 14.01 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.26$. Found: C, 23.86; H, 5.48 ; $\mathrm{Cu}, 13.91$; N, 9.18. When this material was crystallized from acetone/ether for X-ray diffraction purposes, the product was demonstrated to be $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} d i e n\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ by a structure determination (vide infra).
[ $\mathbf{C u}\left(\mathrm{bnpy}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{O S O}_{2} \mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ]. A solution of $0.479 \mathrm{~g}(6.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ of bnpy ${ }_{2}{ }^{23.24}$ in 1 mL of acetone was added dropwise to a solution of 0.547 $\mathrm{g}(6.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in 10 mL of acetone. The dark bluesolution was stirred for 2 h ; all volatiles were removed in vacuo. The solid residue was washed with THF and redissolved in 10 mL of acetone, and the solution was filtered. Several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the filtrate over 24 h , resulting in the formation of a dark blue crystalline mass. The solid was collected and washed with THF and ether to yield 2.43 g ( $80 \%$ ) of product as dark blue crystals. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{CuF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 40.68 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.41 ; \mathrm{Cu}$, $9.36 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.19$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 40.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.35 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 9.46 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.14$.
[ Cu (cyclam)] $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2} . ~ A ~ m i x t u r e ~ o f ~ 0.415 \mathrm{~g}(2.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ of cyclam and $0.75 \mathrm{~g}(2.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ was treated with 15 mL of methanol/acetonitrile ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h and filtered; the filtrate was reduced to dryness in vacuo. The solid residue was redissolved in a minimum amount of acetonitrile, and the solution was filtered; several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the filtrate over 24 h . The solid was collected, washed with ether, and dried to afford the product as $0.99 \mathrm{~g}(85 \%)$ of red crystals. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{CuF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 25.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.30 ; \mathrm{Cu}$, $11.31 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.97$. Found: C, 25.71; H, 4.34; Cu, 11.35; N, 9.96.
[ $\left.\mathbf{C u}\left(\mathbf{M e}_{5} d i e n\right)(\mathbf{M e C N})\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$. A solution of $0.483 \mathrm{~g}(2.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Me}_{5}$ dien in 5 mL of acetonitrile was added dropwise to a solution of $0.913 \mathrm{~g}(2.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)^{25}$ in 5 mL of acetonitrile. The solution was stirred for 2 h , and all volatiles were removed in vacuo. The solid residue was collected and washed with ether to yield $0.983 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%)$ of product as an off-white solid. An analytical sample
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Figure 1. Synthesis of $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Cu}$ cyanide-bridged assemblies $\mathbf{9}^{21.22}$ and $10-17$ (this work) from heme complex 1 and the indicated Cu (II,I) precursors, including $2,{ }^{6} 3,{ }^{22} 5,{ }^{22}$ and 4 and $6-8$ (this work). All reactions were carried out in acetone solution except those affording 15 and 16 (acetonitrile/ dichloromethane) and 17 (acetonitrile). Accurate structures of all assemblies except $\mathbf{1 5}$ have been determined.
was recrystallized from acetonitrile/ether. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClCuN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 35.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.94 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 16.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.85$. Found: C , 35.09 ; H, 7.02; Cu, 16.89; N, 14.78.
(b) Bridged Assemblies. [(py)(OEP)Fe-CN-Cu(Merdien)( $\mathrm{OSO}_{2}$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)$. A solution of $94.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.177 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5}-\right.\right.$ dien) $\left.\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{22}$ in 2 mL of acetone was added to a solution of 123 $\mathrm{mg}(0.177 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})(\mathrm{CN})(\mathrm{py})]^{21.22}$ in 1 mL of acetone. The mixture was filtered, and several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the filtrate. The product crystallized as large violet plates, but was contaminated with long blue needle-like crystals of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5}\right.\right.\right.$ dien $\left.\left.)\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}(\mathrm{CN})\right\}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)$, which was identified by an X-ray structure determination. ${ }^{26}$ The crystals were separated manually to afford 35 mg ( $16 \%$ ) of product; atom ratios $\mathrm{Fe}: \mathrm{Cu}: \mathrm{S}=1: 1.1: 1.9$ by microprobe analysis. The compound has been identified by an X-ray structure determination. ${ }^{22}$
$\left[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5}\right.\right.$ dien $\left.)\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. A solution of $30.9 \mathrm{mg}(0.068 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{dien}\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in 0.5 mL of acetone was added to a solution of $47.2 \mathrm{mg}(0.068 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})$ $(\mathrm{CN})(\mathrm{py})]$ in 1 mL of acetone. The mixture was filtered, and several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the filtrate. The dark violet crystalline mass was separated, washed with THF and ether, and dried in vacuo to afford $46 \mathrm{mg}(57 \%)$ of product. Absorption spectrum ${ }^{27}$ (acetone): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{M}}\right) 349$ (32000), 403 (101000), 450 (sh, 9500), 526 (9600), 558 (sh, 6900) nm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CuFeN}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{9}: \mathrm{C}, 54.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.62 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 5.97 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 5.35 ; \mathrm{Fe}, 4.70$; $\mathrm{N}, 10.62$. Found: C, $54.44 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.65 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 6.08 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 5.29 ; \mathrm{Fe}, 4.79 ; \mathrm{N}$, 10.49 .
[(py)(OEP)Fe-CN-Cu(Mesdien)(OCMe $)$ ) $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$. A mixture of $51.4 \mathrm{mg}(0.074 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})(\mathrm{CN})(\mathrm{py})]$ and 36.5 mg $(0.074 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Me} 5 \mathrm{dien})(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{22}$ was dissolved in 1

[^4]mL of acetone. The solution was filtered, and several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the solution over 48 h . The solid was collected, washed with ether, and dried in vacuo to give 48 mg ( $53 \%$ ) of product as large violet needle-like crystals. Absorption spectrum ${ }^{27}$ (dichloromethane): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{M}}\right) 347$ (36000), 403 (95700), 450 (sh, 9200), 524 (9400), 558 (sh, 6800). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{CuFeN} \mathrm{NO}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 56.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.93 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 5.21 ; \mathrm{Fe}, 4.58 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.33$. Found: C, 56.62; H, 6.46; Cu, 5.14; Fe, 4.71; N, 10.24 .
$\left[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{bnpy}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)$. A mixture of $22.7 \mathrm{mg}(0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})(\mathrm{CN})(\mathrm{py})]$ and $22.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.033$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{bnpy}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ was dissolved in a minimal volume (ca. 0.5 mL ) of acetone. The solution was filtered, and several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the filtrate. Large rhombohedral crystals of the product deposited from the reaction mixture and were isolated manually; atom ratios $\mathrm{Fe}: \mathrm{Cu}: \mathrm{S}=1: 1.1: 2.0$ by microprobe analysis. This compound was further identified by an X-ray structure determination.
[(py)(OEP) $\mathbf{F e}-\mathbf{C N}-\mathbf{C u}(\mathbf{T I M})]\left(\mathbf{P F}_{6}\right)_{2}$. A mixture of $185 \mathrm{mg}(0.267$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})(\mathrm{CN})(\mathrm{py})]$ and $160 \mathrm{mg}(0.266 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TM})]-$ $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}{ }^{28}$ was dissolved in a minimal amount (ca. 3 mL ) of acetone. The dark violet solution was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The solid residue was dissolved in dichloromethane, the solution was filtered, and the filtrate was taken to dryness in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in a minimal volume of acetone, and several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the solution over 48 h . Very large, dark violet crystals of the product co-crystallized with blue needlelike crystals of $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TIM})(\mathrm{py})]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} .^{28}$ The solid was collected, washed with ether, and manually separated to yield 181 mg ( $52 \%$ ) of product. Absorption spectrum ${ }^{27}$ (dichloromethane): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{M}}\right) 350$ (25 700), 406 (86500), 450 (sh, 10000 ), 531 (8200), 563 (sh, 5400) nm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{73} \mathrm{CuF}_{12} \mathrm{FeN}_{10} \mathrm{P}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 51.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.68 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 4.90 ; \mathrm{Fe}, 4.31 ; \mathrm{N}$, $10.81 ; \mathrm{P}, 4.78$. Found: C, $51.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.75 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 4.98 ; \mathrm{Fe}, 4.25 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.78$; P, 4.87.
$[(\mathbf{p y})(\mathbf{O E P}) \mathbf{F e}-\mathbf{C N}-\mathbf{C u}($ cyclops $)]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$. A mixture of 61.0 mg $(0.088 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})(\mathrm{CN})(\mathrm{ph})]$ and $41 \mathrm{mg}(0.088 \mathrm{mmol})$ of [Cu-

Table 1. Crystallographic Data ${ }^{a}$ for $\mathrm{Cu}(11, \mathrm{I})$ Complexes 4 and 6-8

|  | [4] $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 6 | $[7]\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | [8]((%5Cmathrm%7BClO%7D_%7B4%7D)) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CuO}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{CuF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{CuF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClCuN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| formula wt | 529.9 | 679.1 | 644.1 | 377.3 |
| cryst syst | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| space group | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | Pc |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| $a, \AA$ | 8.616(3) | 9.352(2) | 8.009(3) | 8.939(8) |
| $b, \AA$ | 15.217(4) | 20.557(6) | 16.319(5) | 7.577(7) |
| $c, \AA$ | 18.011(6) | 14.371(7) | 10.384(3) | 13.191(10) |
| $\beta$, deg | 93.01(3) | 96.66(2) | 93.88(3) | 102.77(7) |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 2358(1) | 2744(2) | 1354(1) | 871(1) |
| $T, \mathrm{~K}$ | 223 | 298 | 223 | 223 |
| $d_{\text {calc }}, \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.492 | 1.644 | 1.580 | 1.438 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.206 | 1.033 | 1.044 | 1.425 |
| $R^{b}\left(R_{w}\right),{ }^{c} \%$ | 5.59 (6.14) | 3.40 (3.78) | 4.50 (4.99) | 5.51 (5.92) |

${ }^{a}$ Obtained with graphite-monochromatized Mo K $\alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA) .{ }^{b} R=\Sigma| | F_{0}\left|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right| \Sigma\left|F_{0}\right| \cdot{ }^{c} R_{w}=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma\left[w\left|F_{0}\right|^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}\right.$.
Table 2. Crystallographic Data ${ }^{a}$ for $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Cu}$ Cyanide-Bridged Assemblies

|  | $[11]\left(\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | [12]( $\left.\mathrm{CFF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)$ | [13] $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | [14](SbF6) | [16](SbF6) ${ }_{2}$ | [17]((%5Cmathrm%7BClO%7D_%7B4%7D)) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{CuF}_{12} \mathrm{FeN}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{65} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{CuF}_{6} \mathrm{FeN}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{85} \mathrm{CuF}_{12} \mathrm{FeN}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{67} \mathrm{BCuF}_{8} \mathrm{FeN}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{94} \mathrm{H}_{122} \mathrm{CuF}_{12} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{Sb}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{67} \mathrm{ClCuFeN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| formula wt | 1518.2 | 1372.8 | 1411.7 | 1280.1 | 2121.8 | 950.9 |
| cryst syst | triclinic | triclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | triclinic |
| space group | $P \overline{1}$ | $P \overline{1}$ | $P 21 / c$ | $P 21 / c$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P \overline{1}$ |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| a, $\AA$ | 12.152(2) | 14.960(6) | 17.083(3) | 13.619(3) | 16.862(5) | 12.265(3) |
| $b, \AA$ | 13.658(3) | 15.374(7) | 18.220(4) | 16.866(4) | 17.419(3) | 14.361(3) |
| $c, \AA$ | 22.108(4) | 16.233(5) | 23.300(5) | 26.053(4) | 18.644(4) | 15.066(4) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 82.04(3) | 82.22(3) |  |  |  | 98.69(2) |
| $\beta$, deg | 89.41(3) | 69.23(3) | 109.40(3) | 94.69(2) | 112.68(2) | 107.20(2) |
| $\gamma, \operatorname{deg}$ | 67.11(3) | 84.63(4) |  |  |  | 104.93(2) |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 3343(1) | 3455(2) | 6840(2) | 5964(2) | 5053(2) | 2374(1) |
| $d_{\text {calc }}, \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.508 | 1.320 | 1.371 | 1.426 | 1.395 | 1.330 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.405 | 0.649 | 0.651 | 1.115 | 1.088 | 0.860 |
| $R\left(R_{w}\right){ }^{\text {b }}$ \% $\%$ | 4.18 (4.27) | 7.97 (8.52) | 5.19 (5.71) | 5.81 (5.62) | 7.39 (8.16) | 3.54 (3.80) |

${ }^{a}$ Obtained with graphite-monochromatized Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $\left(\lambda=0.71073 \AA\right.$ ) at $223 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{b}$ For definitions cf. Table 1 .
(cyclops)] $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{29}$ was dissolved in a minimal quantity of acetone. The dark red solution was stirred for several minutes and filtered, and several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the filtrate. The solid was collected, washed with ether, and dried in vacuo to give 47 $\mathrm{mg}(49 \%)$ of product as large, dark violet, needle-like crystals that rapidly desolvated; atom ratios $\mathrm{Fe}: \mathrm{Cu}: \mathrm{Cl}=1: 1.0: 1.1$ by microprobe analysis. The compound was metathesized to the $\mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{1-}$ salt for an X-ray structure determination; atom ratios $\mathrm{Fe}: \mathrm{Cu}: \mathrm{Sb}=1: 1.0: 1.0$.
$\left\{[(\mathbf{p y})(\mathbf{O E P}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathbf{C N})]_{2} \mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ cyclam $\left.)\right\}\left(\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right)_{2}$. A solution of 56.4 mg $(0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ of [Cu(cyclam) $]\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in 0.5 mL of acetonitrile was added to a solution of $69.4 \mathrm{mg}(0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})(\mathrm{CN})(\mathrm{py})]$ and an excess of $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ in 0.5 mL of dichloromethane. The solution was filtered, and several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the filtrate. Over several days, large red crystals of the starting $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ compound and small black crystals of the product precipitated. The latter were separated and analyzed; atom ratios $\mathrm{Fe}: \mathrm{Cu}: \mathrm{Sb}=2: 1.0$ : 2.1 by microprobe analysis. The compound was further identified by an X-ray structure determination.
$[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}($ cyclam $)]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. This compound has been isolated by use of a procedure similar to the preceding one, but with use of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ cyclam $\left.)(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and omission of $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$. The $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ starting material was prepared analogously to $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{cyclam}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ using $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{5}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$; atom ratios $\mathrm{Fe}: \mathrm{Cu}: \mathrm{S}=1: 1.1: 1.9$ by microprobe analysis. The compound crystallized in a trigonal/ hexagonal lattice with $a=21.629(5) \AA$ and $c=21.497(6) \AA(T=223$ $K$ ). The structure was solved in space group $P 3 c$ and the cation was established to have a bridged structure. Refinement of the structure indicated that the crystal may have been twinned; no attempts were made to resolve the twin lattice, and, other than its infrared spectrum, and compound was not further characterized.
$\left[(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5}\right.\right.$ dien $\left.)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$. A solution of 36.4 mg $(0.096 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Mesdien})(\mathrm{MeCN})]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$ in 0.3 mL of acetonitrile was added to a solution of $70.2 \mathrm{mg}(0.101 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP}(\mathrm{CN})$ (py)] in 0.3 mL of dichloromethane. The reaction mixture was stirred

[^5]for 30 s and filtered, and several volume equivalents of ether were diffused into the filtrate. A few large black crystals of the product and an amorphous precipitate were deposited from the solution over the course of several days. The solution was decanted, and the residue was washed with acetonitrile and ether. Large single crystals of product ( 10 mg ) were collected. Attempts to improve the yield in this reaction system were not successful. Absorption spectrum ${ }^{27}$ (dichloromethane): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{M}}\right) 378(84000), 500(8000), 540$ (sh, 6500 ), 593 (sh, 3100), $643(2700) \mathrm{nm}$. The compound was identified by an X-ray structure determination.

The preceding and other complexes of principal interest in this investigation are designated as follows:

| [ $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{CN})]$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6} \text { tren) }\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}\right.$ | 2 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{dien}\right)\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | 3 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}{ }_{5} \mathrm{dien}\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ | 4 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Mes} \text { dien) }(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]^{2+}\right.$ | 5 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{bnpy}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ ] | 6 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { cyclam })(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 7 |
| [Cu(Mesdien)(MeCN)] ${ }^{+}$ | 8 |
| $\left[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6}\right.\right.$ tren) ${ }^{2+}$ | 9 |
| $\left[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{dien}\right)\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$ | 10 |
| $\left[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{dien}\right)\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ | 11 |
| $\left[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{bnpy}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$ | 12 |
| $[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TIM})]^{2+}$ | 13 |
| $[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{cyclops})]^{+}$ | 14 |
| $[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{cyclam})]^{2+}$ | 15 |
| $\left\{[(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})]_{2} \mathrm{Cu} \text { (cyclam) }\right\}^{2+}$ | 16 |
| $\left[(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{S}^{\text {dien }}\right.\right.$ ) ${ }^{+}$ | 17 |
| $\left\{\left[(\mathrm{OEP}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6} \text { tren }\right)\right]_{2}\right\}^{3+}$ | 18 |

X-ray Data Collection and Reduction. Structures of the four copper complexes in Table 1 and the six bridged assemblies in Table 2 have been determined. For brevity in this section, all compounds in these tables which are salts are referred to by their cation number. Suitable crystals were grown by vapor diffusion of ether in a saturated
solution of the compound in acetone ( $4,6,7,11-14$ ), acetonitrile ( 8 , 17), or acetonitrile/dichloromethane ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) (16). A small amount of $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ was added to solutions containing 11, 14, and 16. Single crystals were coated with Paratone-N oil and attached to glass fibers. The crystals were transferred to a Nicolet R3mv diffractometer and cooled with a nitrogen stream.

Lattice parameters were obtained from a least-squares analysis of carefully centered reflections with $15^{\circ} \leq 2 \theta \leq 30^{\circ}$. Decay corrections were based on the measured intensities of two reflections monitored periodically throughout the course of data collection; no significant decay was observed for any sample. The raw intensity data were converted to structure factor amplitudes and their esd's by correction for scan speed, background, and Lorentz and polarization effects using the program XDISK of the SHELXTL PLUS program package. An empirical absorption correction based on the observed variation in intensity of azimuthal scans ( $\psi$ scans) was applied to the data sets using the program XEMP. Compounds $4,6-8,13,14$, and 16 crystallize in a monoclinic system; systematic absences identified the space group as $P 2_{1} / n(4,6,7,16), P c(8)$, and $P 2_{1} / c(13,14)$. Compounds 11, 12, and $\mathbf{1 7}$ crystallize in a triclinic system; the space group $P 1$ was assigned to each on the basis of statistics and successful refinements of the structures.
Structure Solution and Refinement. Structures were solved by direct methods or from a Patterson map using standard procedures and were refined via full matrix least-squares and Fourier techniques using the SHELXTL PLUS package. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters unless otherwise noted. Hydrogen atoms were assigned idealized locations and given a uniform value for $B_{\text {iso }}$.
The asymmetric unit of 4 consists of one cation and two anions and water molecule, while the asymmetric unit of 6 consists of one complete molecule. The cation of 7 crystallizes on an inversion center, and the anion resides on a general position. The asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{8}$ contains one cation and one anion. Compound 11 crystallized with one cation, one complete anion, two half-anions, and a solvate molecule in the asymmetric unit. The anions crystallize on a general position and on the two inversion centers. In compound 12, the coordinated triflate anion is disordered over two positions each with a site occupancy factor of 0.5 . The Cu -bound oxygen atom and the sulfur atom were refined anisotropically with a site occupancy factor of 1.0 ; in addition, the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ distance was constrained to be $1.86 \AA$. Disorder also occurs in the ethyl groups of the porphyrin. Two groups were constrained to have C-C distances of $1.54 \AA$, and one methyl group was refined with two positions, each with an occupancy factor of 0.5 . Because of the limited data ( $F_{0}^{2}>3 \sigma\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)$ ), all carbon atoms, except for that of the cyanide group, were refined isotropically. The asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{1 3}$ contains one cation, two anions, and two acetone solvate molecules; the latter were refined isotropically. For 14, the asymmetric unit contains one anion and one cation. One of the ethyl groups of the porphyrin is disordered over two positions; the methyl group was refined isotropically with occupancy factors of 0.5 . The copper atom of 16 crystallizes on an inversion center and the anion on a general position. Compound 17 crystallizes with one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit. Both orientations of the cyanide bridges were analyzed in the final stages of refinement of 11-14, 16, and 17. In every case except 17 the sequence $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}$ was favored over $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cu}$. In the last cycle of refinement of all structures, all parameters shifted by $<1 \%$ of the esd of the parameter, and final difference parameters showed no significant electron density. Final agreement factors are contained in Tables 1 and $2 .{ }^{30}$

Other Physical Measurements. Absorption spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 4C spectrophotometer. IR spectra were determined with a Nicolet IR/42 spectrometer. Microprobe analyses were performed on crystalline samples by a Cameca MBX electron microprobe using a Tracor Northern TH-1310 wavelength-dispersive spectrometer with a TN-5502 EDS system and a stage automation system.

## Results and Discussion

Preparation of Compounds. The synthesis of bridged assemblies 9-17 is summarized in Figure 1, which also conveys
(30) See paragraph at the end of this article concerning supplementary material.

Table 3. Selected Interatomic Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) of $\mathrm{Cu}(I I, I)$ Complexes 4 and 6-8

| $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{dien}\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}$ (4) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.222(6) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 86.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.994(6) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 89.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.036 (7) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 104.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.022(6) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 98.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 2.034(6) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 96.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 92.8(2) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 158.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 91.8(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 172.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 86.4(3) |  |  |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{bnpy}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (6) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | 2.251(4) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 87.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 2.038(4) | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 91.1(1) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.016(4) | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 88.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.081(4) | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $102.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 1.989(4) | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 96.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 88.2(2) | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 165.7(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 87.5(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 173.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 95.7(2) |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { cyclam })(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]^{2+}(7)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.020(4) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 87.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.023(4) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 91.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 2.570(5) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 92.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 86.0(2) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 88.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 94.0(2) |  |  |
| $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Me} 5 \mathrm{dien})(\mathrm{MeCN})]^{1+}(8)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.04(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 87.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.19(1) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 84.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 2.18 (1) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 120.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 1.93(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 128.4(5) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 118.5(4) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 106.6(5) |

the compound numbering scheme. The preparation and structure of 9 and 18 and the structure of 10 have been described earlier. ${ }^{21,22}$ All assemblies were prepared by the reaction of heme complex 1 with an appropriate $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I})$ precursor 2-8, $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TIM})]^{2+}$, or $[\mathrm{Cu} \text { (cyclops) }]^{+}$selected so as to vary the copper site stereochemistry. The reactions proceed by substitution of a labile ligand (water, acetone, triflate, acetonitrile) from a copper site by the N -end of the cyanide group of 1 in a solvent of low coordinating tendency (acetone). Because structural definition is the principal goal in this phase of the research on cyanide-bridged complexes, preparations were conducted on a scale and in a manner intended to obtain diffraction-quality crystals directly from reaction mixtures. In some cases, starting materials and/or unidentified byproducts co-crystallized with the desired product, which, however, could be recovered in reasonable yield by manual separation based on color and crystal habit. Compounds were identified by a combination of elemental analysis, atom ratios determined by microprobe analysis, and X-ray structure determinations. Complexes 11, 14, and 16 were metathesized to $\left[\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]^{-}$salts to obtain crystals suitable for X-ray work. In the case of $[17]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$, which thus far has been isolated in very low yield, the compound was characterized by a structure determination only.

Prior to a consideration of the bridged assemblies themselves, we examine briefly the structures of precursor $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I})$ complexes. The structures of trigonal bipyramidal (TBP) 2 and distorted square pyramidal (d-SP) 3 and 5, in which the two monodentate ligands occupy an axial and an equatorial position, have been determined previously. ${ }^{22}$

Structures of $\mathbf{C u}(\mathbf{I I}, \mathrm{I})$ Complexes. The structures of 4, 6, 7, and 8 are shown in Figure 2; selected metric parameters are collected in Table 3. In the case of complex 4, the bond angles $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)=172.1(2)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)=158.8(3)^{\circ}$, the long $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ distance of $2.222(6) \AA$, and the range 89.0 (2) $-104.1(2)^{\circ}$ for the four bonded angles involving $O(2)$ denote


Figure 2. Structures of $\mathrm{Cu}(I I, I)$ precursor complexes 4 and 6-8 showing $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids and selected bond distances; primed and unprimed atoms in 7 are related by an inversion center.
the stereochemistry as d-SP. The acetone ligand is in the axial position, and the water molecule is in an equatorial position. The structure is very similar to that of $\mathbf{3}$ and of $\mathbf{5}$; as a set these complexes reveal the strong preference of the Mesdien ligand for equatorial binding in five-coordinate Cu (II) complexes, leaving an axial or equatorial site susceptible to ligand substitution. By a similar argument, complex 6 has a d-SP structure with a triflate ligand in the axial position at the bond length $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(21)=2.251(4) \AA$. This is the same type of stereochemistry found with other $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{bnpy}_{2}$ complexes. ${ }^{24}$ Complex 7 has a tetragonal centrosymmetric configuration with two acetonitrile molecules weakly coordinated at $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)=2.570$ (5) $\AA$, a distance at the end of the range ( $2.35-2.57 \AA$ ) of axial interactions previously observed in $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-cyclam complexes. ${ }^{31}$ Of the remaining $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ starting materials, $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TIM})]^{2+}$ is planar and binds axial ligands to form SP complexes; ${ }^{27,32}$ the latter includes the N -bound complex $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TIM})(\mathrm{NCS})]^{+} .{ }^{32 \mathrm{~b}}$ The structure of $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{cyclops})]^{+}$has not been determined; by analogy with $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TIM})]^{2+}$ and the SP structures of $[\mathrm{Cu} \text { (cyclops)L }]^{+, 0,33}$ including N -bound $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\right.$ (cyclops)( NCO )], ${ }^{33 \mathrm{a}}$ it is expected to be

[^6]


Figure 3. Structure of bridged assembly 11, showing $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids and bond distances and angles in the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\Pi I}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}\right]$ bridge region.
planar. Thus, $[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { cyclam })]^{2+},[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TIM})]^{2+}$, and $[\mathrm{Cu} \text { (cyclops) }]^{+}$ have well-documented affinities for axial N -donor ligands, albeit at different bond lengths and with variable displacements of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ atom from the macrocyclic $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ plane.

Copper(I) complex 8 has a structure so irregular that it cannot be described as distorted tetrahedral. Chelate ring bond angles $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)=87.2(4)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)=84.6(4)^{\circ}$ are comparable to those in 3-5 and are largely set by ligand structure. The four other bond angles at Cu are much larger and occur in the range $106.6(5)-128.4(5)^{\circ}$. Together with the short $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ bond distance of 2.04(1) $\AA$, these parameters void even an idealized $C_{s}$ structure description. As will be seen, peculiar distortions persist in the $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{dien}\right)^{+}$fragment incorporated into its bridged assembly.
$\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ Bridged Assemblies. The structures of monobridged 11-14 and dibridged 16 have been determined. Structures are set out in Figures 3-7, and selected interatomic distances and angles are collected in Tables 4 and 5.
(a) Heme Fragments. The structure of complex 1 has been determined at $293 \mathrm{~K}^{34}$ and is prototypical of low-spin ferrihemes. Significant metric features are mean $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}=1.980(4) \AA, \mathrm{Fe}-$ $\mathrm{CN}=1.934(4) \AA, \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{py})=2.087(3) \AA, \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}=179.1-$ $(1)^{\circ}$, and $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Ct}=0.053 \AA$. The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}$ distance and the small out-of-plane displacement of the Fe atom are structurally diagnostic for low-spin Fe (III). ${ }^{35}$ The following ranges of values have been observed for 11-14 and 16: mean $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}=1.95-$ (1) $-1.99(1) \AA, \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}=1.86(3)-1.92(1) \AA, \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{py})=$ $2.05(1)-2.11(1) \AA$, and $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}=176(1)-179(1)^{\circ}$. Out-of-plane displacements are small and, with one exception (13), are in the direction of the pyridine nitrogen atom. The results are consistent only with low-spin Fe(III) and demonstrate, with the exception of a change in direction of the Fe atom displacement, that the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{CN})$ fragment in the bridged assemblies is essentially congruent with 1 . The same conclusion has been reached for the fragments present in 9 and $10 .{ }^{22}$

[^7]Table 4. Selected Interatomic Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ Bridged Assemblies 11-13

|  | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bridge |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.905(8) | 1.86(3) | 1.912(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(9 / 10)^{a}$ | 1.15(1) | 1.13(3) | 1.150(9) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(9 / 10)$ | $1.937(7)$ | 2.02(2) | 2.171 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(9 / 10)$ | 175.9(7) | 176(1) | 179.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(9 / 10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 172.7(6) | 163(1) | 147.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdot \cdot \mathrm{Cu}^{\text {c }}$ | 4.98 | 4.94 | 5.02 |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Ct}^{\text {b }}$ | 0.109 | 0.01 | $-0.003$ |
| heme |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.996 (6) | 1.95(1) | 1.976(5) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.994(7) | 1.94(2) | 1.971(5) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $1.980(6)$ | 1.93(1) | $1.977(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 1.993 (7) | 1.96(1) | 1.973(5) |
| mean of 4 | 1.991 (7) | 1.95(1) | 1.974(3) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 2.052(6) | 2.07(1) | 2.072(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\text {c mean }}$ | 90.0(2) | 90.0(6) | 90.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(5)$ mean | 90.9(4) | 87(2) | 90(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 176.4(3) | 174.2(6) | 178.3(2) |
| Cu fragment |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 2.042(9) | 1.99(1) | 1.978(5) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(7)$ | 2.053(9) | 1.99(1) | 1.971(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | $2.037(7)$ | 2.09(1) | $1.965(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1 / 11)^{d}$ | 2.294(6) | 2.34(1) |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(9)$ |  |  | 1.967(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 86.6(3) | 94.9(5) | 164.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 87.0(3) | 88.8(5) | 80.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 91.6(3) | 89.9(5) | 80.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 92.2(3) | 87.5(5) | 168.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 / 11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 92.8(2) | 100.0(6) |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 / 11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 93.4(2) | 105.8(5) |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 / 11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(7)$ | 99.9(3) | 89.2(5) |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 / 11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 105.2(3) | 88.2(5) |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(8)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 173.8(3) | 153.9(7) | 97.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(7)$ | 154.4(3) | 175.9(6) | 97.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(10)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(6-9)$ range |  |  | 92.5(2)-102.5(2) |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{~N}(10)$ in 13. ${ }^{b}$ Displacement $(\AA)$ from the porphyrin plane toward $\mathrm{N}(5) .{ }^{c} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ porphyrin nitrogen atoms. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{O}(11)$ in 12.
(b) $\mathbf{C u}$ (II) Fragments. In assemblies 11 and 12, the pattern of bond distances and angles clearly shows that the d-SP stereochemistry of precursors $3^{22}$ and 6 , respectively, is retained. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ distance of $2.294(6) \AA$ in 11 (Figure 3) and the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(11)$ distance of $2.34(1) \AA$ in 12 (Figure 4) define the axial directions in these complexes. Consequently, N -bonded cyanide occupies equatorial positions with bond distances Cu $\mathrm{N}(9)=1.937(7) \AA$ in 11 and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(9)=2.02(2) \AA$ in 12. With regard to stereochemistry at the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ center, these bridged assemblies are analogous to $\mathbf{1 0}$, in which triflate occupies the axial position at a $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length of 2.239 (6) $\AA$ and N -bound cyanide an equatorial position at a $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ distance of $1.949(5) \AA$.

In assemblies 13 and $14, \mathrm{~N}$-bound cyanide occupies an axial position with a $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond essentially perpendicular to the $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ mean plane. In the case of 13 (Figure 5), the mean $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ macrocyclic distance of $1.970(6) \AA$ is unimportantly different from that of precursor $7(2.022 \AA)$. Bridge formation results in forging of the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(10)$ bond at 2.171(7) $\AA$ and the accompanying displacement of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ atom from the $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ mean plane toward the axial ligand by $0.226 \AA$. The SP stereochemistry around $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ is similar to that in $[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TIM})-$ (NCS) $]^{+}$, but in this complex the axial $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is much longer ( $2.38(1) \AA$ ) and the out-of-plane displacement smaller $(0.07 \AA) .{ }^{34 \mathrm{~b}}$ The situation differs to the extent that the axial ligand is not bound linearly ( $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=123(1)^{\circ}$ ) and the terminal sulfur atom interacts (weakly) with a Cu (II) atom in another molecule. In 14 (Figure 6), the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ coordination unit is also SP as in $[\mathrm{Cu} \text { (cyclops) } \mathrm{L}]^{0,+}$ complexes. ${ }^{29,33}$ Bridge formation involves the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(10)$ bond at 2.13(1) $\AA$ and a substantial displacement of the $\mathrm{Cu}($ II $)$ atom from the $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ plane

Table 5. Selected Interatomic Distances $(\AA)$ and Angles (deg) of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ Bridged Assemblies 14 and 16 and $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ Bridged Assembly 17

|  | 14 | 16 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bridge |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.92(1) | 1.91(1) |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(5)$ |  |  | 1.998(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5 / 8 / 10)^{a}$ | 1.15(2) | 1.14(2) | 1.138(4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(8 / 10)$ | 2.13(1) | 2.45(1) |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ |  |  | 1.859(3) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8 / 10)$ | 178.6(9) | 177(1) |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ |  |  | 165.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(8 / 10)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 159.5(9) | 139.8(9) |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ |  |  | 168.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdot \cdot \mathrm{Cu}$ | 5.11 | 5.15 | 4.90 |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdot \cdot \mathrm{Ct}^{\text {b }}$ | 0.031 | 0.088 | 0.34 |
| heme |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.978(9) | 2.003(8) | 2.045(3) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.997(8) | $1.974(8)$ | 2.043(3) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 1.997(9) | 1.990 (8) | $2.038(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 1.991(9) | $1.998(9)$ | $2.035(3)$ |
| mean of 4 | 1.991 (9) | 1.99 (1) | 2.040(5) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 2.11(1) | 2.07(1) |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\text {c m mean }}$ | 90.0(4) | 90.0(5) | 88.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(5)$ mean | 90.2(7) | 90.4(9) | 99(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 179.1(4) | 178.5(4) |  |
| Cu fragment |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 1.98(1) | 2.01(1) | 2.229(4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(7)$ | 1.953(9) | 2.02(1) | $2.091(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 1.98 (1) |  | 2.152(4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 1.95(1) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(7)$ | 80.7(4) | 93.5(4) | 85.2(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 97.7(4) | 91.7(4) | 85.1(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(8)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}\left(9 / 6^{\prime}\right)^{d}$ | 81.1(4) | 86.6(5) |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 92.6(4) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(8)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 159.2(4) | 93.4(5) | 113.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}\left(9 / 6^{\prime}\right)$ | 157.8(4) | 86.5(4) |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(8)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ |  | 88.3(4) |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ |  |  | 110.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ |  |  | 146.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(8)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ |  |  | 113.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(10)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(6-9)$ range | 92.0(4)-110.2(4) |  |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{~N}(5)$ in $17, \mathrm{~N}(8)$ in $16 .{ }^{b}$ Displacement $(\AA)$ from porphyrin mean plane toward $\mathrm{N}(5) .{ }^{c} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ porphyrin nitrogen atoms. ${ }^{d}$ Primed atoms are in 16.
toward the axial ligand by $0.36 \AA$. The macrocyclic mean $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ distance of $1.97(2) \AA$ is normal. The most closely related mononuclear complex is $[\mathrm{Cu}($ cyclops $)(\mathrm{NCO})],{ }^{33 \mathrm{a}}$ whose equatorial mean $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is essentially the same ( $2.001(8) \AA$ ), but whose axial $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is somewhat shorter $(2.038(4) \AA)$ and out-of-plane displacement larger ( $0.58 \AA$ ). Large displacements appear to be an inherent property of $\mathrm{SP} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-cyclops complexes. ${ }^{33}$ Complex 15 was shown to have SP stereochemistry at the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ site, but poor crystal quality precluded an accurate refinement of the structure. In the doubly bridged complex 16 (Figure 7), the copper atom resides on a symmetry center and exhibits tetragonally distorted octahedral (TO) geometry. The macrocyclic distances and angles and the $\mathrm{Cu}-$ $\mathrm{N}(8)$ distance of $2.45(1) \AA$ are consistent with known $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ cyclam complexes. ${ }^{31}$ This bond distance is $0.12 \AA$ shorter than the axial bonds in 7, presumably because of the anionic nature of the ligand.
(c) $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathbf{C N}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ Bridges. In bridged assemblies 9-14 and 16, the structure refinement indicated that the carbon atom of the cyanide moiety is bound to the Fe atom. The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}$ distances (1.86(3)-1.92(1) $\AA$ ) and $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ angles (176(1)$\left.179(1)^{\circ}\right)$ show only minor variations over the series of compounds. However, the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NC}$ distances and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ angles vary markedly. In 9, where the ligand is in the TBP-axial position, the bridge is nearly linear $\left(174(1)^{\circ}\right)$. This is also the case for the d-SP-equatorial assemblies $10\left(170.2(5)^{\circ}\right)$ and 11 $\left(172.7(6)^{\circ}\right)$. In these three species the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NC}$ bond lengths


Figure 4. Structure of bridged assembly 12, showing $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids and bond distances and angles in the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{[I I}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}\right]$ bridge region. For clarity, only one of the two refined orientations of the triflate ligand is shown; open circles (arbitrary radii) indicate atoms that were refined isotropically.


Figure 5. Structure of bridged assembly 13 , showing $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids and bond distances and angles in the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{[I}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{[I}\right]$ bridge region.
occur in the interval $1.90(1)-1.949(5) \AA$. The situation is quite different in the d-SP-equatorial species 12, whose bridge is appreciably bent $\left(163(1)^{\circ}\right)$ with a longer bond (2.02(2) $\AA$ ). SPaxial assemblies $14\left(159.5(9)^{\circ}, 2.13(1) \AA\right)$ and $13\left(147.3(5)^{\circ}\right.$, $2.171(7) \AA$ ) further indicate a trend of decreasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$


Figure 6. Structure of bridged assembly 14 , showing $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids and bond distances and angles in the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ bridge region. The open circles represent two orientations of an isotropically refined methyl group.


Figure 7. Structure of doubly bridged assembly 16, showing 30\% probability ellipsoids and bond distances and angles in the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{CN}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Cu}^{[ }\right]$region; primed and unprimed atoms are related by an inversion center.
bridge angle with increasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NC}$ bond length. The limit is reached with doubly bridged 16 with $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=139.8(9)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NC}=2.45(1) \AA$. The related centrosymmetric doubly bridged assembly 18 , which has the bridge sequence $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{NC}-$ $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$, does not adopt a bent stereochemistry, but instead resembles $9\left(171.8(9)^{\circ}, 1.94(1) \AA\right){ }^{22}$
(d) $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}\right]$ Bridge. The structure of $\mathbf{1 7}$ is shown in Figure 8; structure refinement clearly favored the indicated bridge atom sequence in which the cyanide has inverted its connectivity to the Fe and Cu sites. Selected interatomic distances and angles are collected in Table 5. The large out-of-plane displacement of the iron atom from the porphyrin plane toward $\mathrm{N}(5)(0.34 \AA)$ and the long $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}$ distance (2.040(5)


Figure 8. Two views of the structure of bridged assembly 17, showing $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids and bond distances and angles in the [ $\mathrm{Fe}^{[I I}-$ $\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ] region and at the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ site.
$\AA$ ) are indicative of a high-spin Fe(III) heme. ${ }^{35}$ As is the case for 8, the copper(I) fragment in the bridged complex lacks any definable geometry. The chelate ring bond angles $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Cu}-$ $\mathrm{N}(7)=85.2(1)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(8)=85.1(2)^{\circ}$ are consistent with those in 3-5 and 8, whereas the four other bond angles vary over a wide range from $110.1(2)^{\circ}$ to $146.7(2)^{\circ}$. There is also a large disparity in the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances, which range from 2.091 (3) to $2.229(4) \AA$ and are slightly longer than the corresponding distances in 8. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ bond (1.859(3) $\AA$ ) is shorter than the corresponding $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(4)$ distance (1.93(1) $\AA$ ) in 8, and it is also the shortest metal-cyanide bond found in any of the complexes $9-16$. This bond length compares closely with the values found in polymerized trigonal $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ cyanides $(1.86-1.90 \AA)^{36}$ and is close to the value of 1.91 (2) $\AA$ for a tetrahedral $\mathrm{Cu}^{1}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ bridge. ${ }^{37}$ As expected, this distance is also significantly shorter than those found in Cu -(II)-cyanide complexes containing $\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{dien}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{6}$ tren ligands. ${ }^{22}$ Unlike the $\mathrm{Fe}^{[11}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{11}$ bridged assemblies, the cyanide moiety in $\mathbf{1 7}$ contains two bent M -cyanide bonds with $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)=165.3(4)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)=168.9(4)^{\circ}$. Note that the complex was prepared by the reaction of heme complex 1 and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ species 8 in a process requiring the inversion of cyanide, possibly after displacement of acetonitrile. This is the only example of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}$ bridge we have encountered. As noted earlier, ${ }^{21}$ reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})\left(\mathrm{OClO}_{3}\right)\right]$ with $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6} \text { tren }\right)(\mathrm{CN})\right]^{+}$, containing the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{CN}$ bond, ${ }^{22}$ in

[^8]

Figure 9. Correlation plots for [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ monobridged (9$14)$ and dibridged assemblies ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 18$ ). Upper: trend of decreasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angle $(\theta)$ with increasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NC}$ bond distance (d). Lower: trend of increasing cyanide stretching frequency ( $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}$ ) with decreasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NC}$ bond distance and increasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angle. The two points for 9 in the upper plot arise from two crystallographically independent molecules. ${ }^{22}$ The two $v_{\mathrm{CN}}$ values for 18 (2168, 2159 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) have been averaged in the lower plot. Without implication that the trends are necessarily linear, the data have been fitted by a leastsquares method to the following equations: $\theta=-66.88 d+300.3(r$ $=0.9646) ; d=-0.008191 v_{\mathrm{CN}}+19.74(r=0.9545) ; \theta=0.5650 v_{\mathrm{CN}}$ -1057 ( $r=0.9496$ ).
the presence of a slight excess of pyridine afforded by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}$ product.
$\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathbf{C N}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ Bridge Structure Summary. The entire set of bridged assemblies $9-16$ and 18 spans the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ angle range $174(1)-139.8(9)^{\circ}$ and the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NC}$ bond length interval 1.88(1)-2.45(1) $\AA$, and encompasses four stereochemical arrangements at the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ site: TBP-axial (9, 18), d-SPequatorial (10-12), SP-axial (13-15), and TO (16). The plot in Figure 9 (upper) demonstrates an essentially monotonic trend of decreasing bond length with increasing bond angle. This situation provides a previously unavailable opportunity to investigate the dependence of certain electronic features on bridge structure. Here we examine cyanide stretching frequencies, considerably augmenting our earlier database. ${ }^{22}$

Cyanide Stretching Frequencies. When cyanide is incorporated in an $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CN}$ terminal unit, the $v_{\mathrm{CN}}$ value exceeds that of free or weakly bound cyanide because electron density is reduced in its sp $\sigma^{*}$ MO. The formation of doubly bridged $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is expected to increase the frequency farther provided the bridge geometry remains largely unchanged. A frequency decrease occurs when cyanide functions as a $\pi$-acid, owing to addition of electron density to the empty $\mathrm{p} \pi^{*}$ MOs. ${ }^{38,39}$
(a) Synthetic Bridged Assemblies. Values of $v_{\mathrm{CN}}$ for seven monobridged and two dibridged $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ assemblies
(38) Shriver, D. F. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 1966, 1, 32.

Table 6. Cyanide Stretching Frequencies

| group | compound | $\nu_{\text {CN }}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | solid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ soln |
| $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ | NaCN | $2080^{\circ}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{CN}$ | 1 | 2129 |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ |  | $2177^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | $[10]\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)$ | $2181^{\text {c }}$ | 2177 |
|  | [11] $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | 2175 | 2176 |
|  | [12] $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)$ | 2160 | 2164 |
|  | [13] $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ | 2141 | 2143 |
|  | $[14]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$ | 2145 | 2153 |
|  | [15] $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | 2151 |  |
|  | ox. CcO (bovine heart) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
|  | ox. cytochrome bo (E. coli) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}$ | [16](SbF6) ${ }_{2}$ | 2120 | 2121 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ | $[18]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ | 2168, 2159 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2159 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}^{1}$ | [17]((%5Cmathrm%7BClO%7D_%7B4%7D)) | 2100 | 2082 |

${ }^{a}$ Mulls in Paratone-N oil. ${ }^{b}$ Reference 39. ${ }^{c}$ Reference 22. ${ }^{d}$ Aqueous buffer solutions. ${ }^{6}$ Reference 9. ${ }^{f}$ Reference 12. ${ }^{8}$ Reference 14.
in the solid state and in dichloromethane solution together with related data are presented in Table 6. The frequencies range from 2177 to $2120 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the solid state; the range in solution is practically identical. All values except for 16 are higher than those of precursor heme complex 1. Confirmation that these features are cyanide stretches was obtained by isotope substitution of one assembly. Incorporation of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{14} \mathrm{~N}^{-}$and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{15} \mathrm{~N}^{-}$ into $\left[(1-\mathrm{MeIm}) \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6} \text { tren }\right)\right]^{2+22}$ afforded $v_{\mathrm{CN}}=2138$ and $2150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ respectively, in the solid state compared to 2177 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the unsubstituted compound. Values calculated from a simple harmonic oscillator model are 2137 and $2149 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. Note that, for the significantly bent bridges in 12-14 and 16, the solution $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}$ values are within $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of those in crystals, strongly implying that nonlinear structures are retained in solution. The observed values are considerably higher than $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}=2100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 17 , where back-bonding in the bridge fragment $\mathrm{Cu}^{1}-\mathrm{CN}$ has substantially reduced the frequency.
In Figure 9 (lower), the data reveal the trends of increasing cyanide stretching frequency with decreasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NC}$ bond length and increasing $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angle. Except for 10, the trends are monotonic. From these data, we cannot discern whatever inherent effects the different Cu (II) ligands have on $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}$ values. We expect that these are small and are dominated by the local $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ electronic and bridge geometric factors that are set by the local stereochemistry imposed by the ligands and their interactions with OEP. From the data available, the lowest stretching frequencies are associated with the SP-ax and TO stereochemistries. The situation is most simply viewed in terms of the bridge limiting electronic depictions 19 and 20 . As the bridge deviates from linearity and cyanide triple bonding in 19, the contribution from 20 increases in the presence of a $\pi$-donor atom such as low-spin Fe (III). While it cannot be assured that 20 will induce a longer $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, that is the case in the present set of compounds. Certainly a decrease in the $\mathrm{Cu}-$ $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ angle is expected to decrease the triple-bond character of bridging cyanide, as observed.

(b) Enzymes. Data for oxidized bovine heart cytochrome $c$ oxidase ( CcO ) and a quinol oxidase from $E$. coli (Table 6) define the narrow range $2152-2146 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for these cyanide-inhibited enzymes; no other data for oxidized enzymes have been reported. In applying our database in Table 6 to the problem
(39) Nakanoto, K. Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, 4th ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1986; pp 272-280.
of the structure of the oxidized binuclear site in cyanide-inhibited enzymes, we are cognizant of several limitations: (a) all reasonable geometries at the $\mathrm{Cu}($ II $)$ site have not been achieved; ${ }^{40}$ (b) this site lacks the imidazole ligation of $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and thus any inherent effects these ligands might have on $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}$; (c) the media in which synthetic species and enzymes have been measured are different. ${ }^{41}$ Nonetheless, because limitations $b$ and $c$ are expected to be minor effects, the database encompasses the enzyme frequencies with compounds of established structures, and structural parameters and frequencies correlate (Figure 9), we offer certain observations.

Our data establish that bands in the enzyme region can be achieved by possibility (i) noted at the outset, i.e., by a tight, somewhat bent $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{I I I}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ bridge. This conclusion is at variance with a previous proposal that the $2151 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ feature of bovine heart CcO was inconsistent with bridge bonding; instead, cyanide was thought to be bound to $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{B}} .{ }^{9}$ Thereafter, Caughey et al. ${ }^{11}$ established that one cyanide is bound to the oxidized binuclear site and interpreted the collective evidence as favoring the formulation $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{HImCu}^{11}\right]$; this appears to be equivalent to possibility (iii) observed earlier. While we cannot discount this formulation, our data indicate that it is not obligatory to reaching near-coincidence with the enzyme data. The best frequency matches occur when the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ site has SPax stereochemistry, a $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distance in the 2.1-2.2 $\AA$ region, and a $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angle in the ca. $150-160^{\circ}$ interval. At a longer distance or sharper angle, exemplified with 16, the stretching frequency drops below the enzyme region.

In related work, we have established by magnetization and Mössbauer spectroscopy that the Fe (III) and Cu (II) spins are ferromagnetically coupled to afford a triplet ( $S=1$ ) ground state, and that the quadrupole splitting of Fe (III) is very similar to that in $9 . .^{21,42}$ This mode of coupling and ground state are encountered in the oxidized enzymes. ${ }^{16,17}$ On the basis of the convergence of vibrational frequencies and electronic properties, we consider the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}\right.$ ] bridge in the enzymes to be established. ${ }^{43}$ Within the limits of the database, we would approximate its structure in terms of a linear $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CN}$ fragment and the preceding range of parameters for the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NC}$ fragment.
Lastly, while our current work applies only to fully oxidized bridges, we note that formulations of one-electron-reduced bridges should be considered in terms of the structure of 17. For example, in the bovine heart enzyme $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}$ features at 2131

[^9]and $2090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ have been attributed to $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{[I I}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{HImCu}\right]$ and [ $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{CN} m \mathrm{ImCu}{ }^{1}$ ] bridges. ${ }^{11}$ Given $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}=2100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 17, the possibility of a $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}^{1}\right]$ bridge, for which there has been no earlier precedent, should now be entertained even though Fe (III) in 17 is high-spin. Because Fe (III) remains lowspin in the enzyme, such an interpretation would appear to require this state with imidazole and N -bound cyanide axial ligation. No such native or synthetic heme with this ligation pattern appears to have been characterized, and the effect of a
(43) We note that $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}$ values of terminal $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{CN}$ groups can closely approach or overlap the enzyme range; e.g., the frequencies of [ $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6}-\right.$ tren $)(\mathrm{CN})]\left(\mathrm{ClO} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right), 2{ }^{2}[\mathrm{Cu}($ tren $)(\mathrm{CN})](\mathrm{BPh} 4), 4$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { phen })_{2}(\mathrm{CN})\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{45}$ ( $2140-2136 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) are just below the enzyme values. It appears most unlikely that cyanide would bind terminally to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$. The observation that $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{M}_{6} \text { tren }\right)(\mathrm{CN})\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OEP})\left(\mathrm{OClO}_{3}\right)\right]$ yield $9^{21}$ is one indication of the preference of C -bound cyanide for $\mathrm{Fe}-$ (III). Exchange coupling between $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ at distances of ca. 5 A require propagation via a covalent bridge. For these reasons we do not favor a binuclear enzymatic site in which the primary interaction is formation of the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{CN}$ unit.
(44) Duggan, D. M.; Jungst, R. G.; Mann, K. R.; Stucky, G. D.; Hendrickson, D. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 3443.
(45) Wicholas, M.; Wolford, T. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 316.
high-spin/low-spin conversion on $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}}$ in a $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{NC}-\mathrm{Cu}^{1}\right]$ (or $\left.\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{Cu}^{1}\right]\right)$ bridge is unknown. These are further matters for investigation in biologically relevant cyanide-bridged ironcopper assemblies.
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